

SECRET

CHAL-1208

Copy 2 of 7

6 October 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT : Conversation with Messrs. Cumming and Elting, Department of State,
5 October 1960

25X1D 1. At Mr. Bissell's request I visited Messrs. Cumming and Elting on
5 October to informally outline for them the proposal under consideration
for retention of Detachment B in place as a means of meeting [redacted]
requirements generated by Phil Strong in connection with [redacted]

25X1D 2. I advised these gentlemen that our projected route would avoid
Afghanistan and might or might not involve [redacted] at the 25X1D
northeastern leg of the mission. I pointed out that in order to do the
job, we would need to [redacted] and that if
25X1C (as I suspected it would be) the question of refueling [redacted] became a
political problem, we would probably be obliged to rely on an inflight
refueling system using a [redacted] with refueling either
25X1D in [redacted] I told them that an IFR capa-
bility was six to nine months away, and in all probability an equal amount
of time would be required for [redacted] 25X1D

3. I said that my purpose in raising the matter at this time was
simply to sound them out on whose support would be required in the Depart-
ment of State for such a program over the next two years. Cumming said that
since Mr. Herter had supported the earlier proposal to return the Detachment
from Turkey, he felt the final vote would have to be his in this matter. In
the meantime he said that he would take the question up with Mr. Merchant to
get an informal opinion. I then suggested that once this had been done, Mr.
Cumming plan to call Dick Bissell direct with the results, not involving me
further in this matter. To this he agreed.

4. Cumming inquired about the status of our cover for the U-2, and I
told him I could report nothing more than at our last meeting, at which time
I told him that we were still running head on into [redacted] opposition

25X1A

~~SECRET~~CHAL-1208
Page 2

25X1C

25X1C on continued use of [] I did tell him that the matter was under active study and that we might be obliged to go to [] in the end. I also advised him that the [] mechanism was still in being, although not by very much. Mr. Cumming then discussed at some length Air Force and Navy reconnaissance in the Baltic area since the first of July, and nothing more of pertinence was said by him. In closing Mr. Elting observed that he assumed the proposal for [] U-2 detachment was dead. I advised him to the contrary, at which point he made a vigorous statement that he thought the Department's position on such a move would be 100 per cent in opposition to give U-2's [] I told him that [] had expressed himself as strongly in favor of receiving U-2's, and I assumed that he could not do this without having had [] blessing. We did not pursue this matter further. I then brought Mr. Elting up to date where we stood on a matter he had raised with me on 4 October--a letter [] had received from the editor and publisher of "This Week" Magazine, who was interested in doing a story on the U-2. I said that Lockheed had told us that they had discovered while checking with "This Week" on another matter that the publication of the "Reader's Digest" article on the U-2 in the October 1960 issue had diminished considerably their interest in getting on with the business of their own story. He made notes on this, presumably in order to advise []

SIGNED

JAMES A. CUNNINGHAM, JR.
Assistant Chief
DPD-DD/P

Distribution:

- 1 - ABST CH/DPD
- 2 - DD/P
- 3 - AC/DPD
- 4 - DPD/COVER
- 5 - DPD/OPS
- 6 - DPD/SO
- 7 - DPD/RI

DPD-DD/P:JACunningham, Jr./mg

~~SECRET~~